# WHAT IS DIMENSION? DAY 3 

SEAN MCCURDY

## 1. Day 3 Notes

Definition 1.1. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the boundary of $U$ to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial U:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\right. & \text { for all } 0<r, B_{r}(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset \\
& \text { and } \left.B_{r}(x) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash U\right) \neq \emptyset\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $U \subset X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define the boundary of $U$ relative to $X$ to be

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\partial^{X} U=\partial U:=\{x \in X: \\
\text { for all } 0<r, B_{r}(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset \\
\text { and } \left.B_{r}(x) \cap(X \backslash U) \neq \emptyset\right\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$ be an integer.
(1) We define $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(\emptyset)=-1$.
(2) For $p \in X$, we say

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X, p) \leq m
$$

if anf only if for all open sets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $x \in U$ there exists an open set $x \in V \subset U$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X \cap \partial V) \leq m-1
$$

(3) For $p \in X$ we say that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X, p)=m
$$

if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X, p) \leq m$ but $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X, p) \not \leq m-1$.
(4) We say that $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X) \leq m$ if $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X, p) \leq m$ for all $p \in X$.
(5) We say that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X)=m
$$

if $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X) \leq m$ but $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X) \not \leq m-1$.

## 2. Problem Session \#3

2.1. Computational Questions. The Big Question in this section is whether or not $\operatorname{dim}_{T}$ solved some of the problems that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}}$ had.

Consider the following sets

- $A:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}$.
- $B=A \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:(x-3)^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}$.
- $C=A \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:(x-2)^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}$.
(1) What is $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(A)$ ?
(2) What is $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(B)$ ?
(3) What is $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(C)$ ?

Sean's note: Problems (1), (2), (3) all follow the arguement presented in class. Let $X \in\{A, B, C\}$. Basically, because $X$ is locally connected, for any $p \in X$ and for any neighborhood $p \in V \subset U$, $\partial V \cap X \neq \emptyset$. This forces $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(X)=1$.
(4) Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be the set

$$
E:=\left\{x: x=\frac{k}{2^{n}} ; k, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

What is $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(E)$ ? What is $\operatorname{dim}_{T}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1} \backslash E\right)$ ? Since $\mathbb{R}^{1}=E \cup\left(\mathbb{R}^{1} \backslash E\right)$, isn't this a bit strange?

Sean's note: $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(E)=0 . E$ is totally disconnected, so we can always find $V$ with $\partial V \cap E=\emptyset$. Same with $\mathbb{R}^{1} \backslash E$.

In general, $\mathbb{R}^{n}=\cup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_{i}$ for disjoint sets $A_{i}$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{T}\left(A_{i}\right)=0$. But students do NOT need to discover this themselves.
(5) Can you use (4.) to come up with two sets, $F, G$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(F)=1=\operatorname{dim}_{T}(G)$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}=F \cup G$ ?

Sean's note: Take $F=E \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $G=\left(\mathbb{R}^{1} \backslash E\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$.
The proof that these actually have $\operatorname{dim}_{T}(F)=\operatorname{dim}_{T}(G)=1$ comes from taking axis-parallel rectangles.
2.2. Exploration Questions. We would like to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{T}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)>n-1$. To do so, we need to explore what the difference between $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is. So, the Big Question is: What properties does $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ have that $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ does not?
To help your exploration, you may want to consider the following sub-questions.
(1) What did $\operatorname{dim}_{V}$ say about the difference between $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ?
(2) How might that relate to boundaries and the intersection of boundaries?

Sean's note: The BIG IDEA is:
In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we can find $n$ linearly independent vectors and in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ we cannot.
In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the mutual intersection of $n$ hyperplanes is (in general) non-empty. But, in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the intersection of $n$ hyperplanes is (in general) empty.
Using the fact that vectors define hyperplanes, we can relate information about vectors to information about the intersection of hyperplanes. These hyperplanes are the boundary of half-spaces.

If students come to this conclusion, then remind them of the intuition that The intersection of $m n-1$-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has dimension $n-m$.
Ask them how this might relate to the inductive definition of $\operatorname{dim}_{T}$.
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